

ALGEBRAS OF CHARGES

E. A. Novikov

Institute for Nonlinear Science, University of California - San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093 - 0402

Two different algebras are applied to the system of charges. Such generalizations of classical theory eliminate infinite self-energy of electrons. Paradoxical radiative self-acceleration of an electron is also eliminated in this way. One of the algebras predicts some new effects of high order.

Infinite self-energy of electrons is a long-standing problem in the classical theory of electromagnetic field (EMF) [1]. The paradoxical effect of radiative self-acceleration of an electron [2] has a similar nature. As a remedy for these problems, two different algebras of charges are introduced in this paper. One of these algebras predicts some new effects of high order.

Consider system of partial differential equations with real coefficients, which we will call real system (RS). The Maxwell equations are example of RS. Solution of RS, generally, can be a combination of real fields (RF) and imaginary fields (IF). These two components can interact directly if RS is nonlinear. The idea of IF came from the modeling of the effects of consciousness on electric currents in human cortex [3] (collective effects of billions of interconnected nonlinear neurons). For linear RS, the effect of IF on RF can appear if a nonlinear operation is applied to a solution. This is exactly the situation with problems of self-energy and self-acceleration of electrons.

Firstly, consider electrostatic field. The Maxwell equations have the form:

$$\operatorname{div}\mathbf{E} = 4\pi\rho, \quad \operatorname{curl}\mathbf{E} = 0 \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field and ρ is the charge density. Hence, introducing scalar potential ϕ , we get:

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi, \quad \Delta\phi = -4\pi\rho \quad (2)$$

For a system of point charges:

$$\phi = \sum_a \frac{e_a}{R_a} \quad (3)$$

where R_a is the distance from the charge e_a to a point at which we are determining ϕ . For the energy of the system of charges we have:

$$U = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int E^2 dV = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho\phi dV = \frac{1}{2} \sum_a e_a \phi_a \quad (4)$$

where ϕ_a is the potential of the field produced by all the charges, at the point where the charge e_a is located. From (3) and (4) we see that the self-energy

of charge produces infinite contribution. This textbook derivation shows how simple and profound is the problem. Note that energy (4) is nonlinear operator. The traditional explanation for this problem is that the theory is incorrect for small distances and infinite self-energy must be ignored. Then, (3) and (4) give finite energy:

$$U = \sum_{a>b} \frac{e_a e_b}{R_{ab}} \quad (5)$$

(R_{ab} is the distance between charges). However, such approach seems unsatisfactory. Similar problem with nonlinear operation arises in a more hidden form.

The effect of radiative self-acceleration is more subtle and corresponding derivation is based on use of the retarded potential. In the system of reference moving with the charge at the given moment, the classical result is [2]:

$$m \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \frac{2e^2}{3c^3} \frac{d^2\mathbf{v}}{dt^2}, \quad (6)$$

where m is the mass of the particle and c is the velocity of light. This equation, apart from trivial solution $\mathbf{v} = 0$, has solution with exponentially increasing self-acceleration. Note again, that this unphysical effect is produced by nonlinear operation and corresponding force is proportional to e^2 . The traditional explanation of this paradox is that (6) can be used only when the effect is small. However, in our opinion, (6) can not be used at all.

To explain the last statement, note that (6) is obtained by expansion of the retarded potential in terms of negative powers of c , namely, on the third order of this expansion. But, the exact solution gives a different result. Let us start with the Lienard-Wiechert potentials [1] for a charge moving with velocity $\mathbf{v} = d\mathbf{r}_o/dt$:

$$\phi = \frac{ce}{cR - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{R}}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \frac{e\mathbf{v}}{cR - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{R}} \quad (7)$$

Here $\mathbf{R}$ is the radius vector, taken from the point $\mathbf{r}_o$ where the charge is located to the point of observation, and all quantities at the right sides of the equations must be evaluated at time τ , determined from equation:

$$c\tau + R(\tau) = ct \quad (8)$$

In the evaluation of electric and magnetic fields

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \text{grad}\phi, \quad \mathbf{H} = \text{curl}\mathbf{A}, \quad (9)$$

we use relation $\partial\tau/\partial t = cR/(cR - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{R})$, which follows from (8). Having in mind that potentials (7) satisfy the Lorentz condition, the results can be simplified by using the frame of reference moving with the charge at the given moment. Simple manipulation gives [4]:

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{e\mathbf{R}}{R^3} + \frac{e\mathbf{R} \times \{\mathbf{R} \times \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{d\tau}\}}{c^2 R^3}, \quad (10)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{R} \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{E} \quad (11)$$

The first part of the electric field (10) corresponds to the electrostatics (in the moving frame of reference). The second part in (10) represents the effect of radiation. Components of this field we denote by $E_i^{(rad)}$ and rewrite in the form:

$$E_i^{(rad)} = \frac{e}{c^2 R} (n_i n_k - \delta_{ik}) \frac{dv_k(t - R/c)}{dt} \quad (12)$$

Here $n_i = R_i/R$, δ_{ik} is the unit tensor and summation is assumed over the repeated subscripts from 1 to 3. We also used (8) in transforming the argument of the velocity. For evaluation of the radiative self-force we must take the limit of $eE_i^{(rad)}$ when $R \rightarrow 0$. Isotropy of the space gives in the limit: $n_i n_k \approx \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ik}$. Expanding the acceleration term in (12), we get:

$$e\mathbf{E}^{(rad)} \approx -\frac{2e^2}{3c^2 R} \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} + \frac{2e^2}{3c^3} \frac{d^2\mathbf{v}}{dt^2} \quad (13)$$

The second part in (13) reproduces (6). But, the first part is divergent and has the same type of e^2/R - divergency as the self-energy.

One way of eliminating such divergencies in linear RS is by introducing appropriate IF. Particularly, let us make substitution:

$$e_a \rightarrow e_a(1 + i_a) \quad (14)$$

where i_a are imaginary units with condition:

$$i_a i_b = -\delta_{ab} \quad (15)$$

In this paper we will be interested only in real components of nonlinear operations. Possible interpretations of IF will be considered in future (compare with Ref. 3). Clearly: $\text{Re}\{(1+i_a)(1+i_b)\} = 1 - \delta_{ab}$. We see that (14) eliminates self-energy without changes in mutual interactions (5). Radiative self-acceleration is also eliminated by (14). Note that (14) is applicable only at locations of corresponding charges.

Condition (15) creates commutative nonassociative algebra. To show this, we introduce complex numbers defined by formula $\alpha = \alpha_o + \sum_a \alpha_a i_a$, where coefficients $\alpha_a (a = 0, 1, \dots)$ are real. From symmetry (15) follows commutativity condition: $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$. Nonassociativity is clear from example: $(i_1 i_1) i_2 = -i_2$, $i_1(i_1 i_2) = 0$. Simple calculation show that: $(\alpha\alpha)(\beta\alpha) = ((\alpha\alpha)\beta)\alpha$. This equality insures that algebra, created by (15), is so called Jordan algebra [5].

It is interesting to note that this algebra can predict some new effects of high order. For example, in some nonlinear system we can get self-interaction proportional to e^3 . The algebra predicts that corresponding term should be

multiplied by $\text{Re}\{(1+i_a)^3\} = -2$. Generally, for high-order self-effects we have: $\text{Re}\{(1+i_a)^q\} = 2^{q/2} \cos(q\pi/4)$.

There is another way of dealing with indicated above unphysical effects, namely, by introducing simple condition:

$$e_a e_a = 0 \quad (16)$$

(no summation over subscript a). Clearly, condition (16), applicable at location of the charge, eliminates self-energy and self-acceleration. Assuming additionally that $e_a e_b = e_b e_a$, we get commutative associative algebra, which, probably, has a name attached to it. Elements of this algebra are:

$$\alpha = \alpha_o + \sum_a \alpha_a^{(1)} e_a + \sum_{a < b} \alpha_{ab}^{(2)} e_a e_b + \dots + \alpha_{1\dots n}^{(n)} e_1 \dots e_n \quad (17)$$

where $\alpha_{\dots}^{(\dots)}$ are real coefficients and n is the number of charges. Formally, we do not use imaginary units in (16) and (17). However, if $e_a \neq 0$ and $e_a e_a = 0$, than e_a is not real in ordinary sense. So, we use the name IF in both considered cases.

Infinity of self-energy and paradoxical self-acceleration of an electron tell us something about the nature of elementary particles and corresponding fields. The presented above procedure of elimination of unphysical effects (in terms of appropriate algebra) is only the first step. Next steps involve problems in quantum field theories.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, Pergamon Press, 1987.
- [2] Ref.1, pages 204 - 208.
- [3] E. A. Novikov, arXiv:nlin.PS/0309043; arXiv:nlin.PS/0311047; arXiv:nli.PS/0403054; Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, v. 25, p. 1-3 (2005); arXiv:nlin.PS/0502028.
- [4] Formula (10) corresponds to formula (63.7) in Ref. 1.
- [5] Encyclopedic Dictionary of Mathematics, v. 2, article 231, Jordan Algebras, MIT Press, 1987.